### Passwords are finally DEAD!!!



## Passwords are finally DEAD!!!

Not like when Bill Gates said that,

Passwords are finally DEAD!!!

Not like when Bill Gates said that,

and Google claimed that, and...

Passwords are finally DEAD!!!

Not like when Bill Gates said that,

and Google claimed that, and...

But really really dead

Passwords are finally DEAD!!!

Not like when Bill Gates said that, and Google claimed that, and... \* But really really dead Pushing up daisies

Passwords are finally DEAD!!!

Not like when Bill Gates said that, and Google claimed that, and... \* But really really dead Pushing up daisies But we can't 🟵 How to (not) Share a Password: Privacy preserving protocols for finding heavy hitters with adversarial behavior

Moni Naor Benny Pinkas Eyal Ronen

### Compromise a User, Attack the Eco System

- Bad passwords do not only compromise the users
- Weak and popular passwords can be used for large scale attack
  - E.g. the Mirai attack
  - Easy to find IoT devices with Shodan like search engines
- Service provider liability?

#### Possible solutions



#### Panacea

Greek Goddess of **Universal Remedy** 

Solution to all problems; **Cure-all** 



#### Possible solutions



#### Panacea

Greek Goddess of **Universal Remedy** 

Solution to all problems; **Cure-all** 



#### Our suggestion - Blacklist Popular passwords

Passwords over time

password -> passw0rd -> p@assw0rd->password

superman -> wonderwoman

Different populations

Passwords over time

#### password -> passw0rd -> p@assw0rd->password

#### superman -> wonderwoman

Different populations



Primum non nocere First do (almost) no harm

#### Primum non nocere

First do (almost) no harm

- Publishing password blacklist can also help attackers
  - Publishing the blacklist is like publishing a code vulnerability

#### Primum non nocere

First do (almost) no harm

- Publishing password blacklist can also help attackers
  - Publishing the blacklist is like publishing a code vulnerability

- Leaking password information can hurt the user
  - One bit leakage doesn't hurt the user a lot
  - Differential privacy can also help

### How to (not) share a Password

- Identify and blacklist popular passwords (heavy hitters)
  - $\bullet$  those were chosen by more than a fraction  $\tau$  of the users
- Server should not learn more than 1 bit on any user's password
  - At most halves the number of password guesses
- Probability of False Negative (pFN) must be negligible
  - No popular password is missed
- Probability of False Positive (pFP) may be a small value
  - A legitimate password can be rejected with low probability

### Previous work

- Privately Finding heavy hitters in many settings -[DNP+10,DNPR10,CSS11,CLSX12,DNRR15]
- Semi-honest version [BS15,BNST17]
- Non colluding mix servers [MS17]
- DP password list with **trusted server** [BDB16]
- Similar motivation, no DP [SHM10]

#### The Malicious world

• Both users and server might be malicious

• A malicious server wants to learn the passwords

- Malicious users want to "hide" popular passwords
  - Adversary controls a coalition of users

### Implementation and other usages

- We implemented the full malicious QR protocol on a RPi
  - Non interactive version runs in about 15 seconds, can run in background
  - Server computer can verify in about 0.5 seconds
- Same solution can be used in any heavy hitter problem with possible malicious setting
  - TOR network statistics
  - Device PIN/Pattern
  - Large service providers dynamic passwords statistics

eprint.iacr.org/2018/003